

more different framework data and corresponding results at: <http://results-esp.msave-the-climate.info>

framework data (input values here: yellow fields)		Gt	determination
global CO2 budget 2020 - 2100		650	global budget
land-use change (LUC) emissions 2020 - 2100		0	
international shipping and aviation (ISA) emissions 2020 - 2100	3%	-20	
global CO2 budget 2020 - 2100 to distribute here		630	
weighting population key in the weighted key		100%	national budget
scenario type used for the reference values		RM-3-lin	paths

Calculation **global budget** to distribute here:
 LUC and ISA emissions are not considered here. Global LUC and ISA budgets are therefore offset against the global budget.
 A value of **zero** for LUC means that by 2100, in total, net positive LUC emissions are offset by net negative LUC emissions.

reference values for the countries with the highest emissions				emissions 2019 in Gt	per capita 2019 in t	share in global emissions 2019	accumulated share	year emissions neutrality	normalised change rate 2020	
target year:	2030		2050							
reference year:	1990	2010	1990	2010						
China	103%	-47%	-100%	-100%	11.5	8	31%	31%	2040	2.2%
United States	-94%	-95%	-100%	-100%	5.0	15	14%	45%	2032	-2.4%
EU27	-61%	-57%	-96%	-95%	2.9	7	8%	53%	2059	-4.5%
India	361%	58%	225%	11%	2.6	2	7%	60%	-	1.5%
Russia	-89%	-84%	-100%	-100%	1.8	12	5%	65%	2035	-0.7%
Japan	-63%	-65%	-100%	-100%	1.1	9	3%	68%	2043	-3.0%

largest national budgets 2020 - 2100	national budget	weighted key	emissions 2019	scope years
	Gt		Gt	
China	117.1	18.6%	11.50	10
India	111.6	17.7%	2.56	44
EU27	36.3	5.8%	2.93	12
United States	26.9	4.3%	5.04	5
Indonesia	22.1	3.5%	0.65	34
Pakistan	17.7	2.8%	0.22	81
Brazil	17.2	2.7%	0.48	36
Nigeria	16.4	2.6%	0.13	123
Bangladesh	13.3	2.1%	0.11	121
Russia	11.9	1.9%	1.78	7
Mexico	10.4	1.7%	0.49	21
Japan	10.4	1.6%	1.14	9
Ethiopia	9.2	1.5%	0.02	480
Philippines	8.8	1.4%	0.15	59
Egypt	8.2	1.3%	0.28	29
Vietnam	7.9	1.3%	0.33	24
Democratic Republic of the Congo	7.1	1.1%	0.00	2,039
Germany	6.8	1.1%	0.70	10
Turkey	6.8	1.1%	0.41	16
Iran	6.8	1.1%	0.69	10
Thailand	5.7	0.9%	0.27	21
United Kingdom	5.5	0.9%	0.36	15
France and Monaco	5.3	0.8%	0.32	17
Italy, San Marino and the Holy See	4.9	0.8%	0.33	15
South Africa	4.8	0.8%	0.47	10
Tanzania	4.7	0.8%	0.01	371
Myanmar/Burma	4.4	0.7%	0.04	116
Sudan and South Sudan	4.4	0.7%	0.02	186
Kenya	4.3	0.7%	0.02	226
South Korea	4.2	0.7%	0.66	6
Colombia	4.1	0.7%	0.09	44
Spain and Andorra	3.8	0.6%	0.26	15
Argentina	3.7	0.6%	0.19	19
Uganda	3.6	0.6%	0.01	583
Ukraine	3.6	0.6%	0.20	18
Algeria	3.5	0.6%	0.18	20
Iraq	3.2	0.5%	0.21	16
Afghanistan	3.1	0.5%	0.01	258
Poland	3.1	0.5%	0.31	10
Canada	3.1	0.5%	0.60	5
Morocco	3.0	0.5%	0.07	41
Saudi Arabia	2.8	0.4%	0.59	5
Uzbekistan	2.7	0.4%	0.09	30
Peru	2.7	0.4%	0.06	48
sum without EU	531		32	
sum across all countries	630		37	17

Basic idea behind the ESPM

The ESPM consists of two steps:

(1) **National budgets:** A predefined global CO2 budget is distributed to countries. The ESPM tool offers the use of a **weighted distribution key** that includes the **'population'** and the **'emissions'** in a base year (here: 2019).

(2) **National paths:** The ESPM tool offers the Regensburg Model Scenario Types to derive plausible national paths that adhere to a national budget.

Basic idea behind the Regensburg Model Scenario Types RM 1 - 6

With the help of the RM Scenario Types, emission paths can be determined that meet a given budget. The scenario types differ in the **assumption** about the **property** of the **annual reductions**. This approach is particularly useful when it comes to making **political decisions** about **emission paths**.

Brief description of the ESPM:

https://www.klima-rettet.info/PDF/ESPM_Background.pdf

Brief description of the RM Scenario Types:

https://www.klima-rettet.info/Downloads/RM-Scenario-Types_short.pdf

Published paper for the six largest emitters:

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4764408>