

more different framework data and corresponding results at: <http://results-esp.msave-the-climate.info>

framework data (input values here: yellow fields)			determination
global CO2 budget 2020 - 2100	Gt	700	global budget
land-use change (LUC) emissions 2020 - 2100		0	
international shipping and aviation (ISA) emissions 2020 - 2100	3%	-21	
global CO2 budget 2020 - 2100 to distribute here		679	
weighting population key in the weighted key		100%	national budget
scenario type used for the reference values		RM-4-quadr	paths

Calculation **global budget** to distribute here:
 LUC and ISA emissions are not considered here. Global LUC and ISA budgets are therefore offset against the global budget.
 A value of **zero** for LUC means that by 2100, in total, net positive LUC emissions are offset by net negative LUC emissions.

reference values for the countries with the highest emissions				emissions	per capita	share in	accu-	year	normalised	
target year:	2030		2050		2019	2019	global	mulated	emissions	change
reference year:	1990	2010	1990	2010	in Gt	in t	emissions	share	neutrality	rate
China	144%	-36%	-100%	-100%	11.5	8	31%	31%	2036	2.2%
United States	-98%	-98%	-100%	-100%	5.0	15	14%	45%	2030	-2.4%
EU27	-58%	-53%	-95%	-94%	2.9	7	8%	53%	2058	-5.0%
India	392%	68%	313%	41%	2.6	2	7%	60%	2086	1.5%
Russia	-91%	-88%	-100%	-100%	1.8	12	5%	65%	2032	-0.7%
Japan	-55%	-57%	-100%	-100%	1.1	9	3%	68%	2040	-3.0%

largest national budgets 2020 - 2100	national budget	weighted key	emissions 2019	scope years
	Gt		Gt	
China	126.2	18.6%	11.50	11
India	120.3	17.7%	2.56	47
EU27	39.2	5.8%	2.93	13
United States	29.0	4.3%	5.04	6
Indonesia	23.8	3.5%	0.65	37
Pakistan	19.1	2.8%	0.22	87
Brazil	18.6	2.7%	0.48	39
Nigeria	17.7	2.6%	0.13	133
Bangladesh	14.4	2.1%	0.11	130
Russia	12.8	1.9%	1.78	7
Mexico	11.2	1.7%	0.49	23
Japan	11.2	1.6%	1.14	10
Ethiopia	9.9	1.5%	0.02	517
Philippines	9.5	1.4%	0.15	63
Egypt	8.8	1.3%	0.28	31
Vietnam	8.5	1.3%	0.33	26
Democratic Republic of the Congo	7.6	1.1%	0.00	2,198
Germany	7.4	1.1%	0.70	10
Turkey	7.3	1.1%	0.41	18
Iran	7.3	1.1%	0.69	11
Thailand	6.1	0.9%	0.27	23
United Kingdom	5.9	0.9%	0.36	17
France and Monaco	5.7	0.8%	0.32	18
Italy, San Marino and the Holy See	5.3	0.8%	0.33	16
South Africa	5.2	0.8%	0.47	11
Tanzania	5.1	0.8%	0.01	400
Myanmar/Burma	4.8	0.7%	0.04	126
Sudan and South Sudan	4.7	0.7%	0.02	201
Kenya	4.6	0.7%	0.02	243
South Korea	4.5	0.7%	0.66	7
Colombia	4.4	0.7%	0.09	48
Spain and Andorra	4.1	0.6%	0.26	16
Argentina	3.9	0.6%	0.19	21
Uganda	3.9	0.6%	0.01	628
Ukraine	3.9	0.6%	0.20	20
Algeria	3.8	0.6%	0.18	21
Iraq	3.5	0.5%	0.21	17
Afghanistan	3.3	0.5%	0.01	278
Poland	3.3	0.5%	0.31	11
Canada	3.3	0.5%	0.60	6
Morocco	3.2	0.5%	0.07	45
Saudi Arabia	3.0	0.4%	0.59	5
Uzbekistan	2.9	0.4%	0.09	32
Peru	2.9	0.4%	0.06	52
sum without EU	572		32	
sum across all countries	679		37	19

Basic idea behind the ESPM

The ESPM consists of two steps:

(1) **National budgets:** A predefined global CO2 budget is distributed to countries. The ESPM tool offers the use of a **weighted distribution key** that includes the **'population'** and the **'emissions'** in a base year (here: 2019).

(2) **National paths:** The ESPM tool offers the Regensburg Model Scenario Types to derive plausible national paths that adhere to a national budget.

Basic idea behind the Regensburg Model Scenario Types RM 1 - 6

With the help of the RM Scenario Types, emission paths can be determined that meet a given budget. The scenario types differ in the **assumption** about the **property** of the **annual reductions**. This approach is particularly useful when it comes to making **political decisions** about **emission paths**.

Brief description of the ESPM:

https://www.klima-rettet.info/PDF/ESPM_Background.pdf

Brief description of the RM Scenario Types:

https://www.klima-rettet.info/Downloads/RM-Scenario-Types_short.pdf

Published paper for the six largest emitters:

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4764408>