more different framework data and corresponding results at: http://results-espm.save-the-climate.info

framework data (input values here: yellow fields)				
		Gt		
global CO2 budget 2018 - 2100		680		
land-use change (LUC) emissions from 2018 on	16%	-109	global	
international shipping and aviation (ISA) emissions from 2018 on	3%	% <u>-</u> 20		
global CO2 emissions 2018 - 2019 without LUC and ISA		-73	budget	
global CO2 budget 2020 - 2100 to distribute here		478		
	50%		national	
weighting population key in the weighted key	30	30 /0		
scenario type used for the reference values	RM-	RM-5-rad re		
minimum annual emissions as a percentage of the country's current emissions	-10)%	values	

global budget to distribute here:

LUC and ISA emissions are subtracted from the global budget because no reliable data are available at the country level. The emissions for countries used and the country budgets determined here also do not include LUC and ISA emissions.

reference values for the countries with the highest emissions						share in			reduction	
				emissions	per capita	global	accu-	temporary	rate	
target year:	2030		2050)	2019	2019	emissions	mulated	overshoot	used
reference year:	1990	2010	1990	2010	in Gt	in t	2019	share	in Gt	2020
China	155%	-33%	-70%	-74%	11.5	8	31%	31%	32	-2.1%
United States	-50%	-55%	-96%	-86%	5.1	16	14%	45%	18	-1.8%
EU27	-57%	-52%	-94%	-81%	2.9	7	8%	53%	8	-1.5%
India	223%	10%	20%	-29%	2.6	2	7%	61%	0	-1.0%
Russia	-62%	-48%	-97%	-82%	1.8	12	5%	65%	6	-1.7%
Japan	-48%	-50%	-94%	-81%	1.2	9	3%	69%	3	-2.1%

largest national budgets	national	weighted	emissions	scope
2020 - 2100	budget	key 2019 year		years
	Gt		Gt	
China	119.6	25.0%	11.5	10.4
India	59.2	12.4%	2.6	22.8
United States	43.5	9.1%	5.1	8.5
EU28	37.4	7.8%	3.3	11.3
EU27	32.9	6.9%	2.9	11.2
Russia	16.2	3.4%	1.8	9.0
Indonesia	12.5	2.6%	0.6	19.9
Japan	11.4	2.4%	1.2	9.9
Brazil	9.7	2.0%	0.5	20.2
Pakistan	8.2	1.7%	0.2	36.5
Germany	7.2	1.5%	0.7	10.2
Iran	7.1	1.5%	0.7	10.2
Mexico	7.1	1.5%	0.5	14.7
Nigeria	6.9	1.4%	0.1	68.6
South Korea	5.8	1.2%	0.7	9.0
Bangladesh	5.8	1.2%	0.1	52.4
Turkey	5.3	1.1%	0.4	12.7
Saudi Arabia	5.1	1.1%	0.6	8.2
South Africa	5.0	1.1%	0.5	10.2
Vietnam	5.0	1.0%	0.3	16.3
Canada	5.0	1.0%	0.6	8.5
Egypt	4.8	1.0%	0.3	18.7
United Kingdom	4.5	0.9%	0.4	12.2
Philippines	4.3	0.9%	0.2	28.7
France and Monaco	4.1	0.9%	0.3	12.9
Italy, San Marino and the Holy See	4.0	0.8%	0.3	12.2
sum without EU	367		30	
sum across all countries	478		37	13.0
coverage rate	77%		82%	

Basic idea behind the ESPM

The ESPM consists of two steps:

- (1) National budgets: A predefined global CO2 budget is distributed to countries. The ESPM tool offers the use of a weighted distribution key that includes the 'population' and the 'emissions' in a base year (here: 2019).
- (2) National paths: The ESPM tool offers the scenario types $RM\ 1$ 6 to derive plausible national paths that adhere to a national budget.

The weighting of the population distribution key is therefore an important parameter when determining national budgets.

In addition to the budget, an important parameter for determining the national paths is the potential for **net negative emissions** that is assumed. This is given here by the minimum value of annual emissions up to 2100 as a percentage of the country's current emissions. A negative percentage stands for net negative emissions. 0% stands for net zero emissions (emission neutrality). If net negative emissions are taken into account, the budget is temporarily exceeded (overshoot). Please note: The potential of negative emissions is controversial. In addition, a resulting **overshoot** can be problematic with regard to the **tipping points** in the climate system.

Basic idea behind the RM Scenario Types 1 - 6

With the help of the RM Scenario Types, emission paths can be determined that meet a given budget. The scenario types differ in the **assumption** about the **property** of the **annual reductions**. This approach is particularly useful when it comes to making **political decisions** about emission **paths**.

The scenario type RM-5-rad used here to calculate the paths and thus also the reference values shows a convex course of the annual reduction rates.

www.save-the-climate.info